

Continuation reconciliation attempt of February 5.

To Kim Loan <.....@gmail.com> Copy ...@denadvocaten.nl <.....@denadvocaten.nl>

Dear Kim Loan,

Yesterday we received, in two parts, the payments totalling €64,860.99, for which we thank you. (This amount will fully benefit the temple.) Mr. K. has sent a response to your lawyer and to the notary.

I am sorry that I have not yet received your response to my reconciliation mail of February 5. The board of the Linh Son Temple Foundation is and remains of the opinion that now is the time for reconciliation, but in my mail of February 5 I also indicated that there are still some misunderstandings to be cleared up.

In this e-mail I would like to be a little more specific about the misunderstandings I wrote about in my previous e-mail. These include the misunderstanding created by the report of the National Forensic Research Office (NFO), dated May 16, 2023. It talks about "forged signatures" (Mr. Do allegedly forged Ms. Le's signature) but the reason is that incorrect material was presented to the NFO as reference material. The handwriting used to compare Ms. Le's signature to (page 20 of the report) is not that of Mr. Do, but that of Ms. Le. As a result, the conclusion was wrongly drawn, that Do would have forged Le's signature.

That incorrect reference material was used is easily established. If necessary, Do as well as Le are willing to cooperate with a writing test. In October I already had contact with Mr V. of the NFO, who asked for your cooperation. You did not cooperate.

The board insists that the wrong conclusion of the NFO report be corrected. I hereby ask you once again to cooperate, otherwise we will legally dispute the report of the NFO. The extra costs involved are caused by the fact that you provided the NFO with incorrect reference material and did not want to cooperate in correcting this. The foundation will therefore have to recover these extra costs from you.

The related misconception, that Do would have unjustly enriched itself through these alleged "forged" loan agreements is also untrue. The funds from these loans were actually paid into the foundation's account in 2015 and 2016. This too is easy to prove, and I would be happy to show you this as well. In fact, some of the loans were transferred directly from Do's bank account in Canada to the ING account of the Linh Son Temple Foundation. The other loans can almost all be found in a notebook from

2015, to which Mr. Lam and, in most cases, also Mr. B.P. Nguyen from Alkmaar have always added their signatures. Although a cash book was not properly kept by the then treasurer, it is highly plausible that these amounts were indeed paid into the foundation's account by Lam, at least with his bank card.

You could have observed this yourself, given the fact, that you yourself are in possession of the original loan agreements from 2015 and 2016. After all, Mr. B.P. Nguyen from Alkmaar handed them to you.

Finally, the board would like the complete records returned, i.e., including the complete loan records, including, but not limited to, the documents you provided to the NFO for the purpose of the investigation into alleged false signatures.

The board is not seeking further legal action but will make every effort to clear up the above and other misunderstandings.

I would like to hear if you are still open to engage, in a reasonable manner, in conversation about this to clarify these misunderstandings.

Kind regards,

Frank Visser

Linh Son Temple Foundation

(On behalf of Master Thích Trí Thoát as well)